

# NATIONAL UNIVERSITIES COMMISSION



## REPORT ON ACCREDITATION OF ACADEMIC PROGRAMMES IN NIGERIAN UNIVERSITIES

### SUMMARY OF PANEL'S REPORT

DATE OF VISITATION: 30<sup>th</sup> October– 1<sup>st</sup> November, 2019

UNIVERSITY: University of Nigeria, Nsukka

DISCIPLINE: Education

PROGRAMME: Home Economics

PROGRAMME SCORE: 95.0%

ACCREDITATION STATUS: FULL

DEFICIENCIES	REMEDIES
1. Some marking Schemes are not well developed and are handwritten.	1. Marking schemes should be well developed and typed for clarity.
2. Fire extinguishers and sand buckets are inadequate in number and the environmental sanitation is not adequate.	2. Adequate number of fire extinguishers and sand buckets should be provided and environmental sanitation improved.
3. Funding for the programme is inadequate.	3. The programme should be adequately funded.

The total score for the programme is 95.0%. It scored 15.0(83.3%) in academic content, 32.0(100%) in staffing, 26.0(96.3%) in physical facilities, and 18.0(100%) in library. The programme scored 2.0(66.7%) in funding. Employers' rating was 2.0(100.0%). Based on the total score, the panel recommended FULL accreditation status for the programme.

### APPROVAL BY THE COMMISSION

Based on further analysis of the report, the Commission upholds the recommendation of FULL accreditation status for the programme.

National Universities Commission  
Directorate of Accreditation  
Director, Accreditation

# NATIONAL UNIVERSITIES COMMISSION



## REPORT ON ACCREDITATION OF ACADEMIC PROGRAMMES IN NIGERIAN UNIVERSITIES

### A. SUMMARY OF PANEL'S REPORT

DATE OF VISITATION: 27<sup>th</sup> – 29<sup>th</sup> October, 2019

UNIVERSITY: University of Nigeria, Nsukka

DISCIPLINE: Education

PROGRAMME: Agricultural Education

PROGRAMME SCORE: 87.1%

ACCREDITATION STATUS: FULL

DEFICIENCIES	REMEDIES
1. Marking Schemes are not well developed and inconsistency in grading of projects, continuous assessment and examination scripts	1. Marking Schemes should be well developed and proper grading of projects, continuous assessment and examination scripts
2. The classroom equipment are not adequately maintained	2. The University should ensure classroom equipment are adequately maintained.
3. Some academic staff offices have no bookshelves.	3. Academic staff offices should be provided with bookshelves
4. Physical library books are inadequate in currency.	4. More current books should be procured for the programme
5. Funding for the programme is inadequate.	5. The programme should be adequately funded.

The total score for the programme is 87.1%. It scored 15.6(86.7%) in academic content, 30.0(93.8%) in staffing, 21.5(79.6%) in physical facilities, and 17.0(94.4%) in library. The programme scored 1.0(33.3%) in funding. Employers' rating was 2.0(100%). Based on the total score, the panel recommended FULL accreditation status for the programme.

### B. APPROVAL BY THE COMMISSION

Based on further analysis of the report, the Commission upholds the recommendation of FULL accreditation status for the programme.

National Universities Commission  
Directorate of Accreditation  
Director, Accreditation

# NATIONAL UNIVERSITIES COMMISSION



## REPORT ON ACCREDITATION OF ACADEMIC PROGRAMMES IN NIGERIAN UNIVERSITIES

### A. SUMMARY OF PANEL'S REPORT

DATE OF VISITATION: 27<sup>th</sup> -31<sup>st</sup> October, 2019

UNIVERSITY: University of Nigeria, Nsukka

DISCIPLINE: Education

PROGRAMME: Social Studies

PROGRAMME SCORE: 85.9% ACCREDITATION STATUS: FULL

DEFICIENCIES	REMEDIES
1. 73% of the academic staff have not benefitted from Staff Development Programme.	1. The University should ensure that all academic staff benefit from the Staff Development Programme.
2. Fire extinguishers and sand buckets are inadequate in number.	2. The University should provide more fire extinguishers and sand buckets for safety
3. Books and journals for the programme are inadequate in number and currency.	3. More current books and journals should be procured for the programme.
4. Funding for the programme is inadequate.	4. The University should provide adequate funding for the programme.

The total score for the programme is 85.9%. It scored 17.8(98.6%) in academic content, 27.0(84.4%) in staffing, 13.5(84.4%) in physical facilities, and 14.0(77.8%) in library. The programme scored 1.8(58.3%) in funding. Employers' rating was 2.0(100%). Based on the total score, the panel recommended FULL accreditation status for the programme.

### B. APPROVAL BY THE COMMISSION

Based on further analysis of the report, the Commission upholds the recommendation of FULL accreditation status for the programme.

National Universities Commission  
Directorate of Accreditation  
Director, Accreditation

# NATIONAL UNIVERSITIES COMMISSION



## REPORT ON ACCREDITATION OF ACADEMIC PROGRAMMES IN NIGERIAN UNIVERSITIES

### A. SUMMARY OF PANEL'S REPORT

DATE OF VISITATION: 11<sup>th</sup> – 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2019

UNIVERSITY: University of Nigeria, Nsukka

DISCIPLINE: Education                      PROGRAMME: Education Computer Science

PROGRAMME SCORE: 88.0%      ACCREDITATION STATUS: FULL

DEFICIENCIES	REMEDIES
1. The following courses are omitted in the curriculum: CSC 204 (Fundamentals of Data Structure), CSC 208 (Discrete Structure), CSC 310 (Algorithms and Computing Analysis), CSC 401 (Organization of Programming Languages).	1. The curriculum should be reviewed to include the omitted courses in line with the BMAS.
2. 50% of the academic staff have not benefited from the Staff development programme.	2. The University should ensure that all academic staff benefit from Staff Development Programme.
3. Access to e-resources is not adequate.	3. The University should ensure that campus internet and hotspots are functional and made accessible to staff and students.
4. Funding for the programme is inadequate.	4. The programme should be adequately funded.

The total score for the programme is 88.0%. It scored 17.0(88.9%) in academic content, 28.0(87.5%) in staffing, 26.0(96.3%) in physical facilities, and 14.0(77.8%) in library. The programme scored 2.0(66.7%) in funding. Employers' rating was 2.0(100%). Based on the total score the panel recommended FULL accreditation status for the programme.

### B. APPROVAL BY THE COMMISSION

Based on further analysis of the report, the Commission upholds the recommendation of FULL accreditation status for the programme.

National Universities Commission  
Directorate of Accreditation  
Director, Accreditation

# NATIONAL UNIVERSITIES COMMISSION



## REPORT ON ACCREDITATION OF ACADEMIC PROGRAMMES IN NIGERIAN UNIVERSITIES

### A. SUMMARY OF PANEL'S REPORT

**DATE OF VISITATION:** 11<sup>th</sup> – 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2019

**UNIVERSITY:** University of Nigeria, Nsukka

**DISCIPLINE:** Education

**PROGRAMME:** Education Biology


**PROGRAMME SCORE:** 87.0%

**ACCREDITATION STATUS:** FULL

DEFICIENCIES	REMEDIES
1. One student Onyia O. J. (2018/245447) enrolled on the programme did not meet the stipulated admission requirements. He had D7 in Chemistry.	1. The University should ensure that all students enrolled on the programme meet the stipulated admission requirements as laid down in the BMAS.
2. 81% of academic staff have not benefitted from Staff Development Programme.	2. The University should ensure that all academic staff benefit from the Staff Development programme.
3. Classrooms furniture are not well maintained.	3. Standard and well maintained classroom furniture should be provided for the programme.
4. Academic staff offices are inadequate in space.	4. Standard and adequately spacious academic staff offices should be provided for the programme.
5. Laboratory space is inadequate for the programme.	5. Standard, well equipped and spacious laboratory should be provided for the programme.
6. Toilet facilities are inadequate in number.	6. More toilet facilities should be provided for the programme.
7. Access to e-books and e-journals is inadequate.	7. The University should ensure that campus Internet hotspots are functional and accessible to staff and students at all times.
8. Funding is inadequate for the programme.	8. The programme should be adequately funded.

National Universities Commission  
Directorate of Accreditation  
Director, Accreditation

# NATIONAL UNIVERSITIES COMMISSION



## REPORT ON ACCREDITATION OF ACADEMIC PROGRAMMES IN NIGERIAN UNIVERSITIES

### A. SUMMARY OF PANEL'S REPORT

DATE OF VISITATION: 30<sup>th</sup> October – 3<sup>rd</sup> November, 2019

UNIVERSITY: University of Nigeria, Nsukka

DISCIPLINE: Education

PROGRAMME: Education History

PROGRAMME SCORE: 91.0%

ACCREDITATION STATUS: FULL

DEFICIENCIES	REMEDIES
1. 37% of the academic staff have not benefitted from the Staff Development Programme.	1. The University should ensure that all academic staff benefit from the Staff Development Programme.
2. Sand buckets are inadequate in number.	2. More sand buckets should be provided.
3. Funding for the programme is inadequate.	3. The programme should be adequately funded.

The total score for the programme is 91.0%. It scored 18.0(100%) in academic content, 30.0(94.0%) in staffing, 26.0(96.0%) in physical facilities, and 15.0(83.0%) in library. The programme scored 2.0(66.7%) in funding. Employers' rating was 2.0(100%). Based on the total score, the panel recommended FULL accreditation status for the programme.

### B. APPROVAL BY THE COMMISSION

Based on further analysis of report, the Commission upholds the recommendation of FULL accreditation status for the programme.

National Universities Commission  
Directorate of Accreditation  
Director, Accreditation

# NATIONAL UNIVERSITIES COMMISSION



## REPORT ON ACCREDITATION OF ACADEMIC PROGRAMMES IN NIGERIAN UNIVERSITIES

### A. SUMMARY OF PANEL'S REPORT

DATE OF VISITATION: 30<sup>th</sup> October – 3<sup>rd</sup> November, 2019

UNIVERSITY: University of Nigeria, Nsukka

DISCIPLINE: Education

PROGRAMME: Education Igbo

PROGRAMME SCORE: 94.0%

ACCREDITATION STATUS: FULL

DEFICIENCIES	REMEDIES
1. The title of the courses IGB 105 (Advanced Composition and Comprehension) and IGB 106 (Advanced Composition and Comprehension II) are not in line with BMAS requirements.	1. The University should change the course titles of IGB 105 (Advanced Composition and Comprehension) and IGB 106 (Advanced Composition and Comprehension II) to Advanced Oral and Written Composition and Advanced Comprehension and Summary respectively in line with BMAS requirements.
2. There was inconsistency in the course code for EDA 222 (Special Methods), it was written as EDU 222 in some marking schemes.	2. The University should ensure consistency in the course code.
3. Sand buckets are inadequate in number.	3. More sand buckets should be provided.
4. Funding for the programme is inadequate.	4. The programme should be adequately funded.

The total score for the programme is 94.0%. It scored 17.0(94.4%) in academic content, 32.0(100%) in staffing, 25.0(92.6%) in physical facilities, and 17.0(94.4%) in library. The programme scored 1.0(33.3%) in funding. Employers' rating was 2.0(100%). Based on the total score, the panel recommended FULL accreditation status for the programme.

### B. APPROVAL BY THE COMMISSION

Based on further analysis of report, the Commission upholds the recommendation of FULL accreditation status for the programme.

National Universities Commission  
Directorate of Accreditation  
Director, Accreditation