

---

**QUALITY EVALUATION OF COMPOSITE FLOUR PRODUCED FROM WHEAT FLOUR, EDIBLE CATERPILLAR AND LEMON PEEL USING RESPONSE SURFACE METHODOLOGY**

\*<sup>1</sup>Aderayo Sakirat Daramola, <sup>2</sup>Samuel Adegoke Adewole, <sup>1</sup>Tesleem Kayode Adebayo, <sup>1</sup>Ismail Adedapo Abdulraheem, <sup>3</sup>Oluwaseun Adetayo Adewole and <sup>2</sup>Adeyinka Oye Akintunde

<sup>1</sup>Department of Food Technology, Federal Polytechnic, Offa, Kwara State, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agriculture and Industrial Technology, Babcock University, Ilishan-Remo, Ogun State, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Benjamin S. Carson (Snr.) College of Health and Medical Sciences, Babcock University, Ilishan-Remo, Nigeria

\*Corresponding Author: daramolaaderayo@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT**

The use of composite flour in different food productions has gained prominence from researchers. This study evaluated the quality of composite flour produced from wheat flour, edible caterpillar and lemon peel. Rotatable Central Composite Design (RCCD) was used to design a mixture consisting of three independent variables (wheat flour 0-80%, edible caterpillar 0-15%, lemon peel 0-5%) that was used for this study. Eleven experimental runs were generated for the composite flours. The nutritional and anti-nutritional properties were evaluated using standard methods. The moisture content, protein, fibre, ash and water absorption capacity of the flour blends were in the ranges: 8.21-10.12%, 15.75-18.39%, 2.8- 6.08%, 1.43- 2.02%, 139 to 169 g/cm<sup>3</sup> respectively. The phytate, tannin and total phenolic concentrations ranged at 293.68-298 mg/100g, 182.00-245.00 mg/100g and 0.42-0.59 mg/GAE respectively. There was an increase in the nutritional content as the level of supplementation increased. Anti-nutritional components in the composite flour were within the tolerable limits and therefore can have significant antioxidant potentials.

**Keywords:** Composite flour, Edible caterpillar, Response surface methodology, Quality evaluation, Anti-nutritional properties.

**INTRODUCTION**

Composite flour is either binary or ternary mixtures of flours from some other crops with or without wheat flour [1]. It is a mixture of flours, starches and other ingredients that have the

potential to replace wheat flour totally or partially in bakery and pastry products. The merits of incorporating flours from other sources to wheat flour in snack production in developing countries include, the reduction of the cost of importation; increased utilization of indigenous crops, a better supply of protein for human nutrition; and better overall use of domestic agriculture production [2-4].

Researchers throughout the world have paid more attention to the production of food products using composite flour, especially in the production of snack foods. Various researchers have reported the mixing of wheat flour with various locally grown food crops such as tubers, legumes, cereals and fruit flour at different percentages to produce variety of food products. These food products made from composite flours have been reported to retain similar properties to products made from white-wheat flour only. The positive effects of the use of the composite flour are seen in the final product relating to the functional and physicochemical properties of raw blended wheat flour along with percentage of blending [3].

Only about three percent of Nigeria's total consumption of wheat flour is produced locally [5]. As a result, 97% of wheat used for producing wheat-based products is imported. The unbridled importation of food by developing countries like Nigeria is detrimental to the local economy and threatens food security. Many developing countries spend a large proportion of their foreign exchange earnings on food especially wheat. Food importations especially from distant countries also have some sustainability challenges such as increase in food miles and energy consumption for food transportation [6]. It is therefore of economic importance if wheat importation is reduced by substitution with other locally available raw materials [7] such as cassava, maize, potato and other protein rich flours.

In order to reduce the impact on the economy, Nigeria released policy mandating the flour mills to partially substitute wheat flour with 10% cassava flour for bread making. The potential benefits of the policy include savings of the Nigeria's foreign exchange earnings of ₦ 254 billion per annum, reduction in the severity of coeliac disease, utilization of locally available crops and creation of employment and wealth [8].

Composite flour is therefore considered beneficial in developing countries because it decreases wheat flour importation and encourages the utilization of locally grown crops as flour [9, 10]. Local raw materials substitution for wheat flour is increasing due to the growing market for

confectioneries [11]. Thus, several developing countries have encouraged the initiation of programs to evaluate the feasibility of alternative locally available flours as a substitute for wheat flour [12].

Recently, there is a growing interest in the use of alternative protein sources such as edible insects for humans to meet their protein demand as the world population skyrocket. The use of insects as human food, especially by indigenous people in the third world countries is well documented. Nigeria also has its own share of edible insects and caterpillars distributed across the various zones in the country. These are mostly gathered from bushes and farmland by women and children, and are prepared and eaten or sold in some local markets. Cultures in Nigeria are highly variable and these affect the consumption of the insects that vary from active avoidance to occasional and substantial consumption [13]. Edible insects are rich in quality protein, fibre, vitamins and minerals that could support human nutritionally [14]. For every 100 grams of dried caterpillars, there are about 53 grams of protein, about 15 percent of fat and about 17 percent of carbohydrates. Their energy value amounts to around 430 kilocalories per 100 grams. The insects are also believed to have a higher proportion of protein and fat than beef and fish with a high energy value [15].

The increased awareness of consumers on the relationship between diet and health has prompted researchers to study the potentials of antioxidants-rich plant material such as fruits and fruit peels in snack production. Studies have shown that lemon peel is rich in useful nutrients such as vitamins, minerals and fiber, and each of them offers a range of health benefits. Reports showed that lemon peel is rich in antioxidants and compounds that could help human bodies fight chronic diseases [16].

In spite of the nutritional and medicinal benefits of edible caterpillar and lemon peels, there are little or no information in the literature about their supplementation potential in the development of composite flour. Therefore, this study is geared towards the quality evaluation of composite flours of wheat flour, edible caterpillar and lemon peel.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The major materials used for this research were wheat flour, edible caterpillar and lemon peel. The edible caterpillar (*Lepidoptera litoralia*) was obtained from Sango market in Saki, Oyo state, Nigeria, while wheat flour, lemon fruits and other ingredients, vegetable oil, sugar and fat, were

obtained from Owode market in Offa, Kwara State, Nigeria. All chemicals and reagents used were of analytical grades.

### Experimental design

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was adopted to study the simultaneous effects of the composition of the wheat flour (WF), edible caterpillar (EC) and lemon peel (LP) on the nutritional quality and functional properties of their composite mixes. A three- variable (five levels of each variable) rotatable central composite design was employed [17]. The independent variables selected for this study were wheat flour, (WF) (0-80%), edible caterpillar, (EC) (0-15%) and lemon peel, (LP) (0-5%). The composition of the composite flour blends had the form.

$$A (\text{wheat flour}) + B (\text{edible caterpillar}) + C (\text{lemon peel}) = 100\% \quad (1)$$

Equation 1 represented mathematical linear dependence of the variables if the amounts of the ingredients are used directly as variables.

$$A+B+C=100\% \quad (2)$$

Table 1: Formula of composition at the design centre point

Ingredient/variable	Total mixture basis (%)	Weight (g)
A Wheat Flour	80	800
B Edible caterpillar	15	150
C Lemon peel	5	50
Total	100	1000

$$\text{Wheat flour} = 800 \text{ g} = A; \text{Edible caterpillar} = 150 \text{ g} = B; \text{Lemon Peel} = 50 \text{ g} = C$$

Table 2: Levels and coded values of independent variables for wheat flour and edible caterpillar

Variable	± Increment	$x_i$ coded Levels				
		-2	-1	0	+1	+2
$x_1$	0.25	3.50	3.75	4.00	4.25	4.50
$x_2$	0.20	2.60	2.80	3.00	3.20	3.40

$$x_1 = \frac{A}{B+C} = \frac{800g}{150+50g} = \frac{800}{200} = 4 \quad (3)$$

$$x_2 = \frac{B}{C} = \frac{150}{50} = 3 \quad (4)$$

The coded level  $x_i$  ratios for each treatment as per experimental design were translated into working quantities of ingredients. The value for the snack ingredients were obtained by systematic algebraic solutions for A, B and C in terms of actual  $x_i$  ratios and a unit quantity of product. The equations used to convert to real values are as follows:

$$A = \frac{x_1}{1+x_1} \quad (5)$$


$$B = \frac{x_2(1-A)}{1+x_2} \quad (6)$$

$$C = 1000 - (A + B) \quad (7)$$

The values for dried lemon peel that are dependent were obtained from the difference between 1000 and the sum of wheat flour and edible caterpillar. The resulting weights of the ingredients in different treatments are given in Table 3.

### Production of dried lemon peel powder

The method described by Sánchez-Zapata et al [18] for preparation of pomace was adopted. The lemon fruits were sorted cleaned with water to remove dirt and were then peeled. The peel of the lemon was rewashed with water, drained and dried at 65 °C for 3 h before milling and sieving. Fig 1 shows the flow chart for the preparation of lemon peel powder from lemon.






Fig 1: Flow chart for the production of lemon peel powder [18]

Table 3: Weight of ingredients (g) obtained

Experimental run	Treatment coded level		Composition (g)		
	$x_1$	$x_2$	WF (A)	EC (B)	LP(C)
1	1	-1	809.52	140.37	50.11
2	1	1	809.52	145.14	45.34
3	-1	-1	789.47	155.11	55.42
4	-1	1	789.47	160.38	50.15
5	2	0	818.18	136.35	45.47
6	-2	0	777.78	166.65	55.57
7	0	-2	800.00	144.44	55.56
8	0	2	800.00	154.54	45.46
9	0	0	800.00	150.00	50.00
10	0	0	800.00	150.00	50.00
11	0	0	800.00	150.00	50.00

WF= Wheat flour, EC =Edible caterpillar, LP = Lemon peel

### **Production of edible caterpillar powder**

The dried edible caterpillar was sorted to remove unwanted particles and milled into powder using an attrition mill. The edible caterpillar powder was sieved to remove the shaft that was present in the powder.

### **Water Absorption Capacity of the Composite Flours**

Water absorption capacity was determined according to the method described by Onwuka [19]

### **Nutritional Analysis**

Moisture, crude protein, crude fibre and ash content were determined according to the method described by AOAC [20]

### **Phytochemical Analysis**

The phytate content was determined according to the method described by Day and Underwood [21]. Tannin composition of the composite flour samples was determined according to the method described by AOAC [20]. Total phenolic content of the composite flours was determined according to the method described by Siow and Hui [22]

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Results of analysis of the formulations with composite flour are presented in Table 4.

### **Nutritional, functional and phytochemical analysis of the composite flours**

The results of the proximate composition and phytochemical analysis of the composite flours are as presented in Table 4. The crude protein ranged from 15.75 to 18.39%. The highest protein content was recorded at runs 6 that contained 777.78 g wheat flour (WF), 166.65 g edible caterpillar (EC) and 55.57 g of lemon peel (LP). The highest protein content at coded point (-2, 0) was 2.64% higher than the minimum value of 15.75%. It was observed that as edible caterpillar increased in the composite flour the protein content also increased. The higher protein content of the composite flour could be attributed to the inclusion of edible caterpillar in the composite flour.

Table 4: Result of analysis on the composite flour formulations

Experi- mental Runs	Treatment Coded Level $x_1, x_2$		Composition- (g)			CP	CF	Moist- ure	Ash	WAC	Phytate	Tannins	Total phenolic
	WF	EC	LP	(g)	(g)								
1	1	-1	809.52	140.37	50.11	17.20	4.21	10.12	2.01	169.49	296.37	232.00	0.44
2	1	1	809.52	145.14	45.34	16.63	3.40	8.21	1.97	139.58	296.64	182.00	0.46
3	-1	-1	789.47	155.11	55.42	17.53	3.61	8.22	2.00	169.49	295.02	190.00	0.45
4	-1	1	789.47	160.38	50.15	18.38	-	10.02	1.98	159.52	295.29	245.00	0.43
5	2	0	818.18	136.35	45.47	15.75	3.61	10.03	1.44	139.49	294.49	225.00	0.46
6	-2	0	777.78	166.65	55.57	18.39	5.80	10.02	1.83	179.46	298.49	240.00	0.49
7	0	-2	800.00	144.44	55.56	17.38	3.41	8.21	2.01	169.49	294.21	215.00	0.42
8	0	2	800.00	154.54	45.46	17.50	4.20	8.22	1.98	169.49	295.84	215.00	0.57
9	0	0	800.00	150.00	50.00	17.51	2.94	8.22	1.83	149.55	293.68	145.00	0.59
10	0	0	800.00	150.00	50.00	17.52	2.80	10.01	2.02	159.46	294.00	146.00	0.54
11	0	0	800.00	150.00	50.00	17.81	2.82	9.13	2.01	149.58	295.29	148.00	0.56

**Key:** WF – Wheat flour, EC – Edible caterpillar, LP – Lemon peel, CP - Crude protein, CF – Crude fiber, M – Moisture, CA – Crude ash, WAC – Water Absorption Capacity, TP-Total phenolic

Solomon and Prisca [23] reported a protein content of 59.8% in edible caterpillar. The findings from this study agreed with previous studies on the substitution of wheat flour with legumes in the production and acceptability of chinchin from wheat and tiger nut by, Abiodun and Ehimen [24]. They recorded a protein content that ranged between 11.1 and 14.9% at up to 30% substitution. Also, Adegunwa et al [25] reported a protein content that ranged from 12.63 to 19.99% in a composite millet- wheat chinchin at 30% millet substitutions.

The crude fibre content varied from 2.80 to 5.80 % with runs 6 that had 777.78 g WF, 166.65 g of EC and 55.57 g LP having the highest value while runs 10 consisting 800 WF, 150 EC and 50 LP had the lowest value. No definite trend was observed for the fibre content with the substitution of WF with EC and LP. This may be attributed to the dilution effect of wheat flour



in the mixture. The source of fibre in the composite flour could be from EC and LP. EC and LP had been reported to contain 9.4% and 10.6% fibre respectively [26]. This result is in accordance with Adegunwa et al [25] that reported a fibre content range of 4.56-5.23% in a composite millet-wheat chinchin at up to 30% millet substitutions.

The ash content indicates a rough estimation of the mineral content of a product. The ash content of the composite flours has values ranging from 1.44-2.02%. The highest value was recorded at runs 10 that contain WF- 800 g, EC-150 g and LP-50 g while the lowest value was at coded point 2.0 with 818.18 g WF, 136.35 g EC and 45.47 g LP. It was observed that the ash content was at its lowest when EC and LP have lower values. This may be attributed to the low content of lemon peel in the composite flour. This result is in agreement with Hawa et al [27] that reported ash content range of 1.25% - 2.4% for cookies prepared from okara, red teff and wheat flour.

The moisture content estimates directly the water content and indirectly the dry matter of the samples. According to Hayma [28], flours with moisture content less than 14% can resist microbial growth and hence better storage stability. Therefore, the moisture content of the flour samples which ranged from 8.21-10.12% indicates that the composite flours are within the range acceptable for effective flour storage for further processing without the risk of microorganism contamination. This result is similar with Adebowale et al [29] who reported a moisture content range of 10.24 - 11.24% in wheat and sorghum composite flour.

Water Absorption Capacity (WAC) is the ability of a product to associate with water under a water limiting condition. The water absorption capacity of flour has an important role in the food product preparation process as it influences other functional and sensory properties. The WAC of the composite flours was found to range from 139-179 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. The WAC was at its highest when the WF content was the lowest with the value 777.78 g at coded point (-2, 0). The WAC has the lowest value when WF content was the highest with the value 818.18 g at coded point (2, 0). This could be indicative of the fact that addition of EC and LP to WF confers high water binding capacity to WF which in turn improves the textural properties of the dough obtainable from the composite flour [29]. High WAC is also attributed to loose structure of starch polymers while low values indicate the compactness of the structure [30]. A desirable characteristic of composite starches is the absorption of water during mixing to dough [31].

Several authors have reported increased water absorption in composite flours compared to wheat flour alone [29, 32, 33]

Phytochemicals such as tannins, phytate and oxalate have been reported in edible insects and lemon peel in Nigeria [34-36]. The anti-nutrients analysed in the composite flours were phytate and tannin. The result of the phytate content of the composite flour ranged from 293.68-298 mg/100g. The highest concentration of phytate for the blends was recorded at coded points (-2, 0) which is 4.32 more than the minimum obtained at the coded points (0, 0). The highest phytate recorded at this point could be as a result of the high content of EC and LP in the blend.

The tannin content has values that ranged between 145 mg/100g and 245 mg/100g with highest concentration obtained at coded point (-1, 1) while the minimum value obtained at centre point (0, 0). The high concentration of phytate and tannin in the composite flour could be attributed to EC and LP present in the composite flours. The result is in agreement with Abebe et al [37] that recorded phytate and tannin content of 245.36 mg/100g and 194.30 mg/100g respectively in composite of wheat and soybean flour. The total phenolic ranged from 0.42 to 0.59 mg/GAE. The low total phenolic content in the composite flours may be attributed to the lower quantity of lemon peel flour in the composite flours. The values of the total phenolic are lower than the result of Tajamul et al [38] who reported a total phenolic content that range between 0.81 and 0.97 mg/GAE in bread supplemented with asparagus bean flour.

## CONCLUSION

The results of this study shows that edible caterpillar insect and lemon peel can be incorporated in to wheat flour for the production of snack that will be more nutritious to consumers than the conventional snacks predominantly produced from white wheat flour which has been reported to be deficient in some essential nutrients and lacking in bioactive substances. Also the use of composite flour will invariably reduce the pressure on wheat flour demand which is mostly imported to the country and improve the utilization of edible caterpillar as an alternative protein source. Furthermore, it has been established that lemon peel which has been assumed to be a waste by many is rich in antioxidants and can be useful in the production of snacks.

## REFERENCES

1. Shittu, T. A., Raji, A. O. & Sanni, L. O. (2007). Bread from composite cassava-wheat flour: I. Effect of baking time and temperature on some physical properties of bread loaf. *Food Res. Inter.* 40, 280 – 290.
2. Berghofer, E. (2000). Brotalsfunktionales Lebensmittel. *GetreideMehlBrot* 54 (3), 175-179.
3. Bugusu, B. A., Campanella, O. & Hamaker, B. R. (2001). Improvement of sorghum-wheat composite dough rheological properties and bread making quality through zein addition. *Cereal Chemistry* 78(1), 3135.
4. Siebel, W. (2006). Future of flours – composite flours. Accessed from [www.muehlenchemie.de/downloads-future-of-flours/fof\\_kap\\_16.pdf](http://www.muehlenchemie.de/downloads-future-of-flours/fof_kap_16.pdf) on 3/12/2011.
5. Agu, H. O., Ayo, J. A., Paul, A. M. & Folorunsho, F. (2007). Quality characteristics of biscuits made from wheat and African breadfruits (*Treculia africana*). *Nigerian Food Journal*, 25 (2), 19-27
6. Ohimain, E. I. (2014). Review of cassava bread value chain issues for actualisation of the 40% cassava bread production in Nigeria. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, 3(9), 1220–1231
7. Oyeku, O. M., Kupoluyii, C. F., Osibanjo, A. A., Orji C. N., Ajuebor, F.N., Ajiboshin, I. O. & Asiru, W. B. (2008). An economic assessment of commercial production of 10% cassava-wheat composite flour bread. *J. Industrial Res. Technol.* 2(1), 20-30.
8. Dhingra, S. & Jood, S. (2002). Organoleptic and nutritional evaluation of wheat breads supplemented with soybean and barley flour. *Food Chemistry*. 77, 479-488.
9. Hugo, L. F., Rooney, L. W. & Taylor, J. R. N. (2000). Malted sorghum as a functional ingredient in composite bread. *Cereal Science* 79(4), 428-432.
10. Hasmadi, M., Siti, F. A., Salwa, I., Matanjun, P., Abdulhamid, M. & Rameli, A. S. (2014). The effect of seaweed composite flour on the textural properties of dough and bread. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 26, 1057–1062.
11. Noor Aziah, A. A. & Komathi, C. A. (2009). Acceptability attributes of crackers made from different types of composite flours. *International Food Research* 16, 479–482

12. Abdelghafor, R. F., Mustafa, A. I., Ibrahim, A. M. H. & Krishnan, P. G. (2011). Quality of bread from composite flour of sorghum and hard white winter wheat. *Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology* 3, 9-15
13. Alamu, O. T., Amao, A. O., Nwokedi, C. I., Oke, O. A. & Lawa, I. O. (2013). Diversity and Nutritional Status of Edible Insects in Nigeria: A Review. *Int.J. Biodivers. Conserv.* 5(4), 215-222.
14. Banjo, A. D., Lawal, O. A. & Adeyemi, A. I. (2006). The microbial fauna associated with the larvae of *Oryctes monocerus*. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 2(11), 837–843.
15. FAO (2011). (Food and Agriculture Organization). Livestock's long shadow environmental issues and options. URL: <ftp://ftp.fao.org/docrep/fao/010/A0701E/A0701E08>.
16. Stanway, J. & Penny, E. (2013). *The Miracle of Lemons – Practical Tips for Health, Home and Beauty*. Duncan Baird Publishers.
17. Montgomery, D.C. (2001). *Design and Analysis of Experiments*, New York, Wiley pp. 416-419.
18. Sánchez-Zapata, E., Fernández-López, J., & Pérez-Alvarez, J. A. (2012). Tiger Nut (*Cyperus esculentus*) Commercialization: Health Aspects, Composition, Properties, and Food Applications. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*. 11 (4), 366 – 377. "World Checklist of Selected Plant Families: Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew". [apps.kew.org](http://apps.kew.org).
19. Onwuka, G. I. (2005). *Food Analysis and Instrumentation: Theory and Practice*. Lagos, Nigeria:
20. AOAC (2005). *Official methods of analysis*. Association of Official Analytical Chemists Official methods of Analysis, 2005 18th edition, Arlington Virginia
21. Day, Jr., R.A. & Underwood, A.L. (1986). *Quantitative Analysis*. 5th Edn., Prentice-Hall Publication, London, UK., Pages: 701.
22. Siow, L. F. & Hui, Y. H. (2013). Comparison on the antioxidant properties of fresh and convection oven-dried guava (*Psidium guajava L.*). *Intl. Food Res. J.* 20(2), 639-644.

23. Solomon, M. & Prisca, N. (2012). Nutritive value of *Lepidoptaralitoralia* (edible caterpillar) found in Jos Nigeria: Implication for food security and poverty alleviation. *Afr. J. Food, Agric. Nutri. Develop.* 12(6), 6737-6747.
24. Abiodun, A. A. & Ehimen, R. O. (2018). Physical, chemical, and sensory properties of biscuits prepared from flour blends of unripe cooking banana, pigeon pea, and sweet potato. *Food Science & Nutrition*, 6, 532–540. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.590>.
25. Adegunwa, M. O., Ganiyu A. A., Bakare, H. A. & Adebowale, A. A. (2014). Quality evaluation of composite millet-wheat Chinchin. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North*, 5(1), 33-39
26. Braide, W., Sokari, T. G. & Hart, A. D. (2010). Nutritional quality of an edible caterpillar of a lepidopteran, *Bunaeaalcinoe*. *Adv. Science Technology*. 4, 49-53.
27. Hawa, A., Satheesh, N. & Kumela, D. (2018). Nutritional and anti-nutritional evaluation of cookies prepared from okara, red teff and wheat flours. *International Food Research Journal*, 25(5), 2042-2050.
28. Hayma, J. (2003). In the Storage of Tropical Agricultural Products. Agromisa Foundation, Wageningen, Netherlands . P. 84
29. Adebowale A. A, Adegoke, M.T., Sanni, S.A., Adegunwa, M.O. & Fetuga, G.O. (2012). Functional properties and biscuit making potentials of sorghum-wheat flour composite. *American Journal of Food Technology*, 7, 372-379
30. Oladipo, F.Y. & Nwokocha (2011). Effect of *Sidaacuta* and *Corchorus olitorius* Mucilages on the Physicochemical Properties of Maize and Sorghum Starches, *Asian Journal of Applied Sciences* 4(5), 514-525
31. Doxastakis, G., Zafiriadis, I., Irakil, M., Marlani, H. & Tananaki, C. (2002). Lupin, soya and triticale addition to wheat flour dough and their effect on rheological properties. *Food Chemistry*, 77, 219-227.
32. Lee, M. R., Swanson, B. G. & Baik, B. K. (2001). Influence of amylase content on properties of wheat starch and bread making qualities of starch and gluten blends. *Cereal Chemistry*, 78, 701-706.

33. Morita, N., Maeda, T., Miyazaki, M., Yamamori, M., Miura, H. & Ohtsuka, I. (2002). Dough and baking properties of high amylase and waxy wheat flours. *Cereal Chemistry*, 79. 491-495.
34. Ekpo, E. A., Udoh, A. L. & Akpan, P. E. (2010). Proximate and anti-nutrient composition of flour edible insects in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *World J. Appl. Sci. Technol.* 2 (2), 224-231.
35. Ifie, I. & Emeruwa, C. H. (2011). Nutritional and anti-nutritional characteristics of the larva of oryctesmonoceros. *Agric. Biol. J. N. Am.*, 2 (1), 42-46.
36. Anie, P. & Abel, H. C. (2018). Nutrient, phytochemical, and antinutrient composition of citrus maxima fruit juice and peel extract. *Food Science & Nutrient*, 6(3) DOI: 10.1002/FSN3.604.
37. Abebe, H., Tesema, B. & Tilku, D. (2018). Proximate compositions, anti-nutritional factors and minerals content of composite flours and Bread from blends of wheat-anchote soybean flours. Corpus ID:212449500 10:331
38. Tajamul, R.S., Pradyuman, K. & Kamlesh, D. (2017). Total phenolic content, antioxidant activity and pasting properties of maize flat bread supplemented with asparagus bean flour. A paper presented at the International Conference on Recent Trends in Agriculture, food Science, Forestry, Horticulture Aquaculture, Animal Sciences, Biodiversity, Ecological Sciences and Climate change (AFHABEC-2017) at Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi.